

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,290	10/18/2001	Stephen John Lewis	010327-003600US	4486	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 06/21/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	RCADERO CENTER	· HOM, SHICK C			
	EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 ART UNIT PAPER PA				
,		•	2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	L	
₹	ゔ	١

			Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/045,290		LEWIS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Shick C. Hom		2616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS (6(a). In no event, h ill apply and will exp cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be timire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 Ma</i>	ay 2007.					
• ==	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition	for allowan	ce except for	formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 and 39 is/are pending	in the appl	ication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-36, 39</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election requi	rement.				
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	·.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u></u> acce	epted or b) 🔲 o	bjected to by the I	Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any obje	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	aminer. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			·				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority				on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies			• •		Stage		
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action		•		ed.			
					·			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 5/30/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in page 14 lines 7-14 of the remarks that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., that information about an insertion request is retrieved from a data source before it is sent out to an insertion device) is not clearly recited in rejected claim 37. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Further, Kawarai et al. in Fig. 21 shows information being retrieved from a source about the request, i.e. blocks 21-2 and 21-3, before the issue of the request, i.e. block 21-7, and the abstract which describe securing the bandwidth information and service quality of the user before issuing the cell insertion request clearly reads on information about an insertion request being retrieved from a data source before it is sent out to an insertion device as argued.

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2616

3. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawarai et al. (6,687,225) in view of Novick et al. (6,404,737).

Kawarai et al. disclose a device of inserting empty memory slots into a data flow (the abstract recite the cell insertion block for inserting an empty cell in a user cell stream), the device comprising:

logic configured to receive an insertion request for an empty memory slot to be inserted into the data flow (col. 2 lines 42-55 recite means for sending an empty cell insertion request to the shaping block which receives the request);

logic configured to retrieve information for processing the insertion request from a data source (col. 2 lines 55-58 recite that when the insertion request is sent, the quality of service class is reported, i.e. retrieve, and the shaping block inserts the empty cell based on the reported quality of service class and col. 19 lines 7-23 recite determining the timing for empty cell insertion being based on the scheduling counters of the QoS classes); and

logic configured to send an instruction for performing the insertion request to an insertion device configured to insert the empty memory slot into the data flow, wherein the insertion

Art Unit: 2616

of the empty memory slot into the data flow is performed before shaping of the data flow (col. 2 lines 33-41 recite the buffer for accumulating the received user cells and empty cell being inserted at the read out of the buffer; and Fig. 27 shows the insertion of the empty memory cell in data stream 27-30 before shaping 27-22).

Kawarai et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of logic configured to determine an appropriate insertion scheme for carrying out the insertion request in accordance with the information retrieved, wherein the appropriate insertion scheme includes a first insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using predetermined shaping parameters, wherein connection identification is associated with predetermined shaping parameters and a second insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using an unshaped connection identification.

Novick et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide logic configured to determine an appropriate insertion scheme for carrying out the insertion request in accordance with the information retrieved, wherein the appropriate insertion scheme includes a first insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using predetermined shaping parameters, wherein connection

identification is associated with predetermined shaping parameters and a second insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using an unshaped connection identification (col. 3 line 65 to col. 4 line 41 recite the method of managing shaped and unshaped traffic in a single virtual path VP using two-stage shaping and two-priority queuing whereby shaped cells are stored and dequeued via a high priority queue and unshaped cells stored and dequeued via a low priority queue according to the VP contract clearly reads on using first indicator and second indicator of cell being shaped and unshaped, respectively, i.e. the high priority contract indicator corresponding to cell being shaped and the low priority contract indicator corresponding to the cell being unshaped).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide logic configured to determine an appropriate insertion scheme for carrying out the insertion request in accordance with the information retrieved, wherein the appropriate insertion scheme includes a first insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using predetermined shaping parameters, wherein connection identification is associated with predetermined shaping parameters and a second insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using an unshaped

Art Unit: 2616

connection identification as taught by Novick et al. in the device of inserting empty memory slots into a data flow of Kawarai et al.

The logic configured to determine an appropriate insertion scheme for carrying out the insertion request in accordance with the information retrieved, wherein the appropriate insertion scheme includes a first insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using predetermined shaping parameters, wherein connection identification is associated with predetermined shaping parameters and a second insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using an unshaped connection identification can be implemented by providing the unshaped transmission option of Novick et al. to the device of inserting empty memory slots into a data flow of Kawarai et al.

The motivation for providing the appropriate insertion scheme includes a first insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using a first indicator that the empty memory cell should be shaped using predetermined shaping parameters and a second insertion scheme configured to send the insertion request using a second indicator that the empty memory cell should be unshaped as taught by Novick et al. in the device of inserting empty memory slots into a data flow of Kawarai et al. being that it provides more efficiency for the system since the

Application/Control Number: 10/045,290 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

system can transmit both shaped and unshaped traffic using a single path.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-36 and 39 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

 Dulong discloses memory transfer apparatus and method useful within a pattern recognition system.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pham Chi can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH SH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9